

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Offic

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 R.12

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	?	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/253,831	i 02/19/9	99 ROBERTS	M	9329-001001	
		- LM01/0412	٦ 🗀	EXAMINER	
HARNES DICKEY & PIERCE			HO, T	-	
P O BOX 828			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS MI 20016				(
			2712	2	
			DATE MAILED) :	
				04/12/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/253,831

Tuan Ho

Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Group Art Unit

p Art Unit **2712**

Roberts et al



Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 24, 2000</u>
This action is FINAL .
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is onger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the pplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 7 CFR 1.136(a).
Disposition of Claim
X Claim(s) 21-31 is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s) is/are allowed.
Claim(s) is/are objected to.
☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Mean See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on
ttachment(s) X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892
 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)9 ☑ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 2712

- 1. Applicants' election of the invention of Group including 21-31 with traverse has been acknowledged. Claims 1-20 and 32-34 have been canceled.
- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 21-31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-18 of U. S. Patent No. 5,138,459 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: "removable digital memory element" in claims 21, 22, 23, 28 and 31. In addition, 1) claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent '459 recite the term "comprising" and 2) the electronic still video

Application/Control Number: 09/253,831

Art Unit: 2712

camera is shown in Figs. 2 and 10, which includes all the limitation of the claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent '459 and claims 21-31 of the present application.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 21-26 and 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sasson et al (US Patent No. 5,016,107).

With regard to claim 23, Sasson et al discloses in Fig. 1A, an electronic still camera which comprises the same operator selectable control switch (control processor 20 controls the camera operation upon receiving a signal form a shutter release switch, col. 9, line 17), sensor 12 and A/D converter 16), memory means (memory card 24), programmable control unit (control processor 20), routine (processor 20 checks memory status, col. 5, lines 24-68), steps of checking status information (col. 5, line 45+), checking the presence of the memory (col. 5, line 39+),

Application/Control Number: 09/253,831

Art Unit: 2712

checking for initialization of the memory (col. 5, lines 45-50), checking a capacity (col. 5, line 45), and determining an available number of image frames as claimed (col. 5, lines 40-45).

With regard to claim 24, Sasson et al discloses in Fig. 1A, an electronic still camera which comprises the same means for displaying as claimed (operation display 30).

With regard to claim 25, Sasson et al discloses in Fig. 1A, an electronic still camera which comprises the same programmable control unit (control processor 20, col. 5, lines 40-55).

With regard to claim 26, Sasson et al discloses in Fig. 1A, an electronic still camera which comprises the same means for periodically repeating the checking of the switch status (in response to a shutter switch, processor 20 checks the status of the memory, col. 5 and col. 9, lines 18+).

With regard to claim 28, Sasson et al discloses in Fig. 1A, an electronic still camera which comprises the same electric power supply (a power supply such as batteries is inherently included in the electronic camera of Sasson et al; otherwise, a user cannot carry the camera around for taking pictures), the steps of sensing power up and checking an output level of the power supply (processor 20 is activated by a shutter release switch only after the power is up and if no power supply is present, the camera would not work).

Claims 29 and 30 recite what was previously discussed with respect to claims 26 and 24.

With regard to claim 31, Sasson et al discloses in Fig. 1A, an electronic still camera which comprises the same erase mode control switch (processor 20 and operation panel 30, col. 3, line 65-68).

Application/Control Number: 09/253,831

Art Unit: 2712

With regard to claim 21, Sasson et al discloses in Fig. 1A, an electronic still camera which comprises the same removable digital memory element (memory card 24), display (operation panel 30) and user control (shutter release switch and processor 20).

Claim 22 recites what was discussed with respect to claim 23.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasson et al (US Patent No. 5,016,107) in view of Sasaki et al (US Patent No. 5,034,804).

Sasson et al discloses the same subject matter as discussed with respect to claim 23, except for operator selectable control switch capable of assuming a plurality of setting including a color/black and white selection, a resolution mode and compression mode settings.

Sasaki et al does not explicitly disclose any control switch including the settings. However, Sasaki et al disclose in Figs. 6A and 6B, an electronic still camera which comprises a mode setting switch 12. Mode switch 12 is able to set image recording at high or low resolution, color, col. 4, lines 58-68 and col. 5, lines 1-12. The use of the mode switch would allow a user to control image quality and a number of frames recorded in a memory (col. 4, line 58-68).

Application/Control Number: 09/253,831 Page 6

Art Unit: 2712

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the processor 20 and operation panel 30 of Sasson et al in the same manner of Sasaki et al in order to set the camera at color mode, resolution mode and compression mode and thereby to improve the camera versatility.

With regard to Black and white setting mode, it is noted that Black and White mode is a mode in which the camera can record color image with only a Y signal (Official Notice is taken for a Y-signal or luminance signal). Since a Black and White image contains less data than a color image, the recording would allow a user recording more pictures in the memory. As a result, more pictures can be recorded with a certain memory card, and that would improve the camera operation in overall.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the camera circuit of Sasson et al in view of Sasaki et al so as to record a Black and White image and thereby to improve the camera versatility.

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/253,831

Art Unit: 2712

made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kawahara et al '883 discloses an electronic camera which includes a removable memory.

Nagasaki et al '730 discloses an electronic still camera which can be connected to a personal computer.

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-6306 and (703) 308-6296 (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-5399 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2712

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan Ho whose telephone number is (703) 305-4943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber, can be reached on (703) 305-4929.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

TH

April 9, 2000

TUANHO

PRIMARY EXAMINER